d. Deposition transcript of Trinity Esparza, head of desk staffing and security at Eastern Columbia Building, a copy of which is at pages 116 to 206 Exhibit JCD1, in which she says:

"She [Amber Heard] said that she had close connections with People
Magazine and asked if we could help her ... She just needed help because
of that quote that was put out there was stating that the front desk
confirmed that we saw her without a mark on her face after the incident
had occurred ... We [the Eastern Columbia Building Staff] -- we became
aware of the allegation - the allegations. And so Alex and I discussed that
we saw -- that we knew that she didn't have the mark all week long and
that she now had it on Friday . I said to both of them, "I saw her and she
had nothing on her face." ... we talked about it, obviously it's a huge
propaganda. I mean, so we talked about it and we discussed that we saw
her previously that week and there was nothing on her face. And then on
Friday [May 27, 6 days after she alleged the wifebeating], we saw that she
had a mark on her face."

- 48. Trinity Esparza, the daytime concierge at the Eastern Columbia Building who works at the front desk from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm Monday-Friday, echoed Mr. Romero's disbelief at Ms. Heard's account. Ms. Esparza, who does not know Mr. Depp personally, testified under oath that she thought that Ms. Heard's allegation that she had been assaulted by Mr. Depp was "false" because "I saw her several times [in the days after the alleged attack] and I didn't see that [mark] on her face."
- 49. Ms. Esparza had multiple face-to-face interactions with Ms. Heard in the days after Ms. Heard claimed that Mr. Depp hit her and struck her in the eye and cheek with a cell phone. Ms. Esparza saw Ms. Heard in-person on Monday, May 23, 2016; Tuesday, May 24, 2016; Wednesday, May 25, 2016; and Friday, May 27, 2016. Ms. Esparza testified under oath that, when she saw Ms. Heard on the Monday, Tuesday, and Wednesday after the alleged attack, Ms. Heard was not wearing makeup and that Ms. Heard had no facial injuries. There were no bruises or cuts on Ms. Heard's face, according to Ms. Esparza's testimony. Ms. Esparza testified under oath that she saw no indication that Ms. Heard had been hit or struck.
- 50. Then, on Friday, May 27, 2016, Ms. Esparza testified under oath that Ms. Heard suddenly "had a red cut underneath her right eye and red marks by her eye." Then Ms. Esparza learned from media reports that Ms. Heard had obtained a domestic violence restraining order against Mr. Depp on May 27, 2016. Because Ms. Esparza had seen Ms. Heard so many times that week without any marks on her face, Ms. Esparza thought "the time didn't add up and so I was questioning... the mark on her face and the allegations that were made."
- 51. Ms. Esparza was so troubled by the sudden appearance of "a mark" on Ms. Heard's face on the very day that Ms. Heard obtained a restraining order against Mr. Depp—but six days after the alleged incident—that Ms. Esparza went back and looked at security video footage and talked to others who worked in the Eastern Columbia Building to see if the "mark" might have been on Ms. Heard's face earlier. It wasn't.
- 52. Mr. Romero and Mr. Harrell confirmed to Ms. Esparza that Ms. Heard did not have any injuries on her face when they interacted with her.

Multiple people who work professionally in the Eastern Columbia Building where the penthouse is located, and who do not know Mr. Depp personally, also have unambiguously debunked Ms. Heard's claim that her face was injured on May 21, 2016 and that she had any sign of injury in the six days before May 27, 2016. Three people, the building's concierge, head of front desk and head of security, profoundly testified under oath about their face-to-face interactions with Ms. Heard between May 22, 2016 (the day after Ms. Heard claims that Mr. Depp hit her and struck her in the eye and on the cheek with a cell phone) and May 27, 2016 (the day Ms. Heard appeared in public and went to court to get a restraining order against Mr. Depp with what appeared to be a battered face). Every one of those three people testified under oath that they saw Ms. Heard up close in the days after the supposed attack and her face was not injured before the day she obtained the restraining order against Mr. Depp.

- 54. But Ms. Esparza did see something else on the surveillance video. On a video from the evening of May 24, 2016, three nights after Ms. Heard alleged that she was attacked by Mr. Depp, Ms. Esparza saw Ms. Heard, her sister, Whitney Heard, and Ms. Heard's friend and corroborating witness, Ms. Pennington, on the mezzanine level of the Eastern Columbia Building. In the surveillance video, Ms. Esparza testified under oath that she saw Whitney Heard pretend to punch her sister in the face. Then Ms. Heard, Ms. Pennington, and Whitney Heard all laughed. Ms. Esparza testified that she thought how Ms. Heard, Ms. Pennington, and Whitney Heard were acting on the surveillance video was "wrong," and it only made her question more how Ms. Heard ended up with a "mark" on her face three days later, on Friday, May 27. Ms. Esparza knew that Mr. Depp had left Los Angeles for work on the day of the alleged incident "and he did not return and so I was questioning how those marks got on her face on Friday." Ultimately, Ms. Esparza testified under oath that she was forced to conclude that "whatever happened to [Ms. Heard's] face did not happen on Saturday [May 21]", as Ms. Heard had alleged.
- 55. Ms. Esparza is not the only professional employee of the Eastern Columbia
 Building to witness the "fake punch" video. Brandon Patterson, the General Manager of the
 Eastern Columbia Building, provided a declaration about it:

One of the surveillance videos, taken the evening of Tuesday, May 24, showed Amber Heard, her sister Whitney Heard, and her friend Raquel Pennington entering the building's mezzanine. Trinity Esparza showed me a video at the front desk with a pretend punch to the face from one of Miss Heard's two companions, and the three of them laughed hard. They then enter the penthouse elevator, where Ms. Heard's face was clearly visible, there were similarly no bruises, cuts, redness, swelling visible on Ms. Heard's face.

- 56. Later, in the media firestorm concerning Ms. Heard's domestic abuse allegations against Mr. Depp, Ms. Heard learned that there were media reports stating that people who worked at the front desk of the Eastern Columbia Building had seen Ms. Heard without any marks on her face, as indeed was their testimony. Mr. Patterson, the General Manager of the Eastern Columbia Building, summarized the testimony of building staff in his own declaration:
 - Ms. Heard was repeatedly observed in the Eastern Columbia Building in the multiple days following the alleged assault without bruises, cuts, redness, swelling or any other injuries to her face. These observations were made by people working at the front desk at the Eastern Columbia Building who interacted with Ms. Heard in person and also saw images of her on the building surveillance cameras.
- 57. Approximately a week after she made her domestic abuse allegations against Mr. Depp, Ms. Heard approached Ms. Esparza and Mr. Patterson, and asked the two of them to give a statement to Ms. Heard's "friend" at People Magazine. Ms. Heard wanted Ms. Esparza and Mr. Patterson "to help retract the statement that was given to the press stating that the front desk had released this information [about seeing Ms. Heard with no injuries to her face] and [Ms. Heard] asked if we would clarify it and let them know that we, in fact, would never release that information on any resident." Mr. Patterson and Ms. Esparza refused to give the statement and directed Ms. Heard to the Eastern Columbia Building's lawyer.
- 58. Ms. Esparza testified that she was "not comfortable" with "the statement that [Ms. Heard] was proposing that [the building] make to *People Magazine*, that the building would not have said they saw [Ms. Heard] without marks on her face" "because that would have been a lie" as "the front desk did, in fact, see [Ms. Heard] prior to Friday [May 27, 2016] without marks on her face."
- 59. The people working at the front desk of the Eastern Columbia Building did not see any injuries to Ms. Heard's face because there were no injuries to Ms. Heard's face. Ms. Heard's allegations that Mr. Depp's battered her was a poorly executed hoax.